```
J2bdmid1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                            New York, N.Y.
                                             18 Cr. 0036(JPO)
 4
                V.
5
     DAVID MIDDENDORF and JEFFREY
     WADA,
6
                    Defendants.
 7
      ----x
 8
9
                                              February 11, 2019
                                              9:55 a.m.
10
     Before:
11
12
                           HON. J. PAUL OETKEN,
13
                                              District Judge
                                              and a jury
14
15
                               APPEARANCES
16
     GEOFFREY S. BERMAN
          United States Attorney for the
17
          Southern District of New York
     BY: REBECCA G. MERMELSTEIN
          AMANDA K. KRAMER
18
          JORDAN LANCASTER ESTES
19
               Assistant United States Attorneys
20
     PETRILLO KLEIN & BOXER LLP
          Attorneys for Defendant David Middendorf
21
     BY: NELSON A. BOXER
          AMY R. LESTER
22
          ALEXANDRA REBECCA CLARK
               - and -
23
     BRUCH HANNA LLP
     BY: GREGORY S. BRUCH
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	BROWN RUDNICK LLP
3	Attorneys for Defendant Jeffrey Wada BY: STEPHEN COOK JUSTIN S. WEDDLE
4	SELBIE JASON - and -
5	LATHAM & WATKINS BY: JASON MASASHI OHTA
6	
7	- also present -
8	Lyeson Daniel, Postal Inspector Virginia Faughnan, Postal Inspector
9	Luke Urbanczyk, Government Paralegal Nathaniel Cooney, Government Paralegal
10	Kiezia Girard-Lawrence, Postal Inspector Stephanie O'Connor, Defendant Middendorf paralegal
11	Sarah Chojecki, Defendant Wada paralegal
12	
13	000
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	

THE CLERK: In the matter of the United States of America against David Middendorf and Jeffrey Wada.

Starting with the government, counsel please state your name for the record.

MS. MERMELSTEIN: Good morning, your Honor. Rebecca
Mermelstein, Jordan Estes and Amanda Kramer for the government.
With us is Luke Urbanczyk and Nathaniel Cooney, who are
paralegals in our office, and Postal Inspectors Virginia
Faghnan and, over there, Lyeson Daniel and Kiezia
Girard-Lawrence.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. BOXER: Good morning, your Honor. Nelson Boxer,
Amy Lester, Greg Bruch, Alex Clark for Mr. Middendorf.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. COOK: Good morning, your Honor. Stephen Cook,

Justin Weddle, Jason Ohta and Selbie Jason on behalf of Jeffrey

Wada.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Thank you.

As you can see already, this courtroom is extremely acoustically challenged. It is very, very important that you speak into the microphones or the court reporter will not hear you and we'll be constantly interrupted.

I would like to start with an allocution regarding any plea offers. Is there anything we need to address before that?

(Pause)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OK. I would like to start by asking the government whether the government has extended any plea offers to either or both defendants?

MS. MERMELSTEIN: There was no plea offer extended to Mr. Middendorf. There was a plea offer extended to Mr. Wada. I'm struggling to remember the terms. I believe it was a plea to -- I don't know if Mr. Weddle can remind me -- to the two conspiracy counts and one of the substantive counts, but the government sent the plea offer and never heard back and there has been no further discussion.

THE COURT: Was it in writing?

MS. MERMELSTEIN: It was.

THE COURT: OK.

And counsel for Mr. Wada, did you receive that offer?

MR. COOK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And the way counsel, Ms. Mermelstein, described it, is that consistent with your recollection of it?

MR. COOK: My recollection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you discuss it with Mr. Wada?

MR. COOK: I did.

THE COURT: All right. Did you discuss the difference in sentencing exposure, exposure in accepting the plea offer versus going to trial?

MR. COOK: I did.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wada, did you receive that

1	communication from your counsel?
2	DEFENDANT WADA: I did, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: OK. And having considered it, did you
4	decide, and do you decide today, that you would like to go to
5	trial?
6	DEFENDANT WADA: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: OK. Thank you.
8	And Mr. Boxer, is what counsel said also consistent
9	with your understanding?
10	MR. BOXER: It is, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: OK.
12	And, Mr. Middendorf, have you had a chance to discuss
13	taking pleading guilty versus going to trial?
14	DEFENDANT MIDDENDORF: Yes.
15	THE COURT: And is your decision to go to trial?
16	DEFENDANT MIDDENDORF: Yes. Definitely.
17	THE COURT: OK. Thank you.
18	Any other preliminary matters? There are a couple of
19	things that came in over the weekend, including the issue of a
20	motion in limine precluding Government Exhibit 654 relating to
21	Mr. Middendorf's iPhone. And any other preliminary issues?
22	MS. MERMELSTEIN: Two other issues, neither of which
23	is incredibly time sensitive if the jury is here.
24	One, as your Honor knows, the crux of this trial
25	concerns confidential PCOB information. In order to prove that

that information was stolen, the government will of course have to show some of that information to the jury, but much of it remains incredibly confidential from the PCOB's perspective. And so with respect to the limited number of exhibits that reveal the most confidential information, I think it would be impractical to prevent all of it from entering the public sphere while the government intends to publish to the jury and to counsel, we would like to maintain a few of those exhibits under seal so they are not publicly available to anyone who wanted access to PCOB's confidential information.

I understand that we have not yet identified which we think fall into that category. I understand that

Mr. Middendorf has no objection to that proposal and that

Mr. Wada I think has an objection to that proposal. We can certainly put something in writing. We are obviously not showing exhibits to anyone today, but we wanted to flag that for your Honor.

The other matter is, as your Honor knows, there is a subpoena served on counsel for Sweet and Whittle for both communications between counsel and the government and for counsel's own notes of any interviews conducted of their client by the government. Both counsel for Mr. Whittle and Mr. Sweet have moved to quash that subpoena. The government joins in that motion. I think it would be unprecedented for the Court to allow that subpoena to be enforced.

We're happy to put something in if your Honor thinks it is necessary. I think it has been adequately briefed by the other lawyers at this point, but we just wanted to flag for your Honor that we agree with counsel for Mr. Whittle and Mr. Sweet, and we join in the motion and are happy to brief it further if your Honor would like us to.

THE COURT: I don't think I need more briefing on it.

I received the motions to quash, and I received over the weekend defendant's response.

Are counsel for -- the counsel who submitted the motions to quash in the courtroom today?

MS. MERMELSTEIN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. I'm not going to address it further, then, but I don't think I need more briefing on it. I will let you know if I do.

For openings -- I forgot to raise this at the final pretrial conference -- obviously, exhibits will not be used in openings. Are parties or counsel planning to use anything in terms of demonstratives or anything in openings? I generally don't allow anything being used unless the parties agree to it?

MS. ESTES: The government is not, your Honor.

MR. BOXER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. COOK: Your Honor, we have one demonstrative. We will show it to the government before we use it.

25

1	THE COURT: OK. Do you know if you have any objection
2	to it?
3	MS. KRAMER: We have not seen it, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. So confer, please, on that
5	before openings.
6	I'm ready for the voir dire. We're waiting for the
7	jury pool at this point. I think I just have one question. I
8	do want to run through the names because I don't want to the
9	mispronounce the names.
10	So for the prosecution, I will indicate Jordan Estes,
11	Amanda Kramer and Rebecca Mermelstein, who will be assisted by
12	Peter Urbanczyk and Nathaniel Cooney, paralegals, and Virginia
13	Faughnan. Is it Faughnan?
14	MS. MERMELSTEIN: Yes, your Honor.
15	And Lyeson Daniel?
16	MR. DANIEL: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: OK.
18	MS. MERMELSTEIN: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt
19	you. We have one more postal inspector present. We passed up
20	a Post-it note to Mr. Hampton to add her name.
21	THE COURT: Oh, yes.
22	MS. MERMELSTEIN: And that may be the most difficult
23	one to pronounce.
24	THE COURT: Yes. Is it Kiezia?

MS. GIRARD-LAWRENCE: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Girard-Lawrence?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GIRARD-LAWRENCE: Yes.

THE COURT: And you are also an inspector with the U.S. Postal Service?

MS. GIRARD-LAWRENCE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

And defendants and defense counsel, David Middendorf, Nelson Boxer, Amy Lester, Alexandra Clark, Greg Bruch; is that the right list?

MR. BOXER: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: And defendant Jeffrey Wada, counsel Stephen Cook, Justin Weddle, Jason Ohta and Selbie Jason?

MR. COOK: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And assisted by Sarah Chojecki and Stephanie O'Connor?

MR. COOK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. I'm not going to go through the whole list of names. I will do my best. If I butcher some of these names when I go through the list of possible witnesses, feel free to correct me.

I did also receive a list of a whole bunch of companies that have stock, and I'm debating whether to include the question about do you hold individual stock because I am afraid that that could take a long time for people sitting there trying to remember all the stock that they own, and I'm

not sure that it's really a relevant question for voir dire. I am happy to hear people's views about it.

MS. KRAMER: Your Honor, we have discussed this list and had the same thoughts that your Honor just expressed, and would propose asking the jury, instead of the list, if you own stock in a company that was audited by KPMG, would that affect your ability to be fair and impartial? So that if someone said, well, yeah, right, like whatever the stock is, if I own stock in that company and they were audited and it is discussed in this case, that would really bother me, that may address it so that you get to the principle rather than the specifics.

MR. BOXER: That is fine, your Honor. I think if you phrased it and it turns out that KPMG audited the company, I'm sure none of the prospective jurors will know which auditor audited the companies they own stock in.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. BOXER: With that addition, I think we are fine with that.

MR. COOK: That is fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Any other preliminary matters?

MS. KRAMER: Not from the government, your Honor.

MR. BOXER: No, your Honor.

MR. COOK: No.

THE COURT: OK. I think I might take a five-minute recess while we wait for the jury panel.

J2bdmid1 (Pause) Apparently, the potential jurors are watching the famed jury video at this point so it will probably be at least 20 minutes before they arrive, so we'll take a recess for about 20 minutes. (Recess) THE COURT: The jury pool is on its way over so it will be a few more minute, so you can relax. (Pause) (Jury selection began) (Continued on next page)

J2B5mid2

(Jury selection under separate cover)

(Whereupon a jury of 12 jurors and six alternates was impaneled and sworn.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, ladies and gentlemen.

you are now the jury in this case and I am going to release you in a minute. Before I do, there are a couple things I want to reiterate. I will give you more instructions on this in the morning about your role versus my role, the role of lawyers in the case, etc., the presumptions of innocence and the burden of proof in a criminal case. I will explain all of that in the morning. The one thing I want to emphasize right now because you are all going home later than you should be, I realize, but I want to emphasize how important it is that you not talk about the case, not do any research on the case.

It is very important in fairness to the parties in any trial in this court house or any court house that the case be decided based on the evidence in the trial. That is very important. So, I don't want you to be talking to other people about the case, looking on the Internet or anything about the case because that's not fair to the parties, and I am instructing you if anyone asks you anything about the case, you can tell them you are on a jury in a trial before Judge Oetken, you can say it is a criminal trial but I don't want you to go in any more detail. Don't talk about what it is about, who is involved, anything like that. Just tell them that the Judge

J2B5mid2

asked you not to say anything other than you are a jury on a trial. All right?

Again, no research. Don't talk to anyone involved in the case or look into it. All right? Does anybody have any questions?

Mr. Hampton will take you back and give you final administrative questions and answers for you about where to go and what to do. If things do start late tomorrow for some reason, there is a chance we will have to go on Friday part of the day, but generally we will be sitting Monday through Thursday, if we can, and Fridays off. All right? But I will update you on that tomorrow. Again, you can call that number to find out if the court house is closed for some reason but, as far as I know, it will be open and we will start at 9:30 here in the courtroom.

Thanks, everybody. We will see you tomorrow. (Continued on next page)

J2B5mid2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: You may be seated. So, we didn't get to 3 openings but we will do that tomorrow. Let me just ask, so I 4 know, who is opening for everybody. 5 MS. ESTES: Your Honor, I am opening for the 6 government. 7 MR. BOXER: I will be opening, your Honor. MR. COOK: On behalf of Mr. Wada, I will be opening. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. You all got that number to call? I 10 think it is probably going to be open, the court house, but if 11 we learn in the next few hours we will obviously e-mail

Anything else we need to discuss? I don't think there is anything you need to know for openings. I think you know what are open items that you shouldn't be discussing, I believe.

everybody and you can check the number in the morning.

MR. COOK: Your Honor, I want to inquire if the Court had any additional guidance on the willfulness issue.

THE COURT: On the what?

MR. COOK: The willfulness issue.

THE COURT: I don't have a definitive ruling on exactly what the instruction is going to be. At this point I think I am leaning on something like Judge Abrams' instructions which is in one of the letters.

Do you know what I am talking about?

J2B5mid2 MR. COOK: Yes, your Honor that's helpful. Thank you. THE COURT: Anything else we need to talk about? MS. KRAMER: Defense counsel showed us the demonstrative, Mr. Cook showed us the demonstrative he intends to use during opening and we have no objection to it. THE COURT: Good enough. Have a good night, everybody. See you tomorrow. (Adjourned to February 12, 2019 at 9:30 a.m.)